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Introduction

Health claims in the media: part of the problem
Healthcare students and professionals, as well as patients and everyone else, are exposed to countless health claims—particularly claims about the effects of interventions—spreading further and faster than ever, via the Internet. Many of the claims are unreliable, such as those that conflate correlation and causation.1 2 Meanwhile, many people are unable to critically assess their reliability.

For example, here in Norway, a survey conducted in 2019 among a representative sample of the population—including healthcare professionals—indicated that a majority of Norwegians are unable to apply several fundamental concepts for assessing health claims and making informed health choices, such as the importance of similar comparison groups for finding intervention effects (149 of 771 participants were able).3 4

The combination of unreliable claims and inability to critically assess those claims can lead to un-informed choices (including shared decisions) and be a barrier to evidence-based healthcare (EBHC). Logically, this is a major explanatory factor in the known, worldwide overuse of ineffective and harmful medical services4 5 and underuse of effective services.4 5

Part of the solution?

However, can the abundance of health claims in the media also be a resource for teaching EBHC? News stories, social media posts and advertisements are simple, familiar, relatable and entertaining, by design, as opposed to scientific literature, which typically includes jargon and excludes narrative. Therefore, health claims in the media may be an appropriate place to start for inexperienced students in the health sciences, as well as other non-professionals, when learning how to think critically about health information.

In this article, we describe the development and large-scale implementation of an educational intervention that systematically takes advantage of health claims in the media to help university students learn how to apply Informed Health Choices (IHC) Key Concepts.6 These concepts are as essential for making informed personal health choices, as for providing evidence-based care. This is reflected in the IHC framework being used in the development of core competencies in evidence-based practice for health professionals.7 8

Development of the intervention

The project to develop the intervention is named ‘Bak overskriften’ (https://bakoverskriften.oslomet.no/about), which is Norwegian for ‘behind the headlines’: A working group with students, teachers and researchers from various study programmes and faculties at Oslo Metropolitan University (OsloMet) have led the development.

The project is inspired by a website with the same name run by the British National Health Service (NHS) (www.nhs.uk/news). It differs in that the primary goal is to help university students master IHC Key Concepts by analysing media information and producing content themselves, as opposed to the NHS site, which provides expert analysis to the general public.

We have iteratively modified and adjusted our intervention, informed by experiences and feedback, and adapted it to different contexts. Through every iteration, the intervention has had two main phases.

First, students introduce themselves to IHC Key Concepts by analysing media stories, social media posts and advertisements. This is reflected in the IHC framework being used in the development of core competencies in evidence-based practice for health professionals.7 8

We believe that learning to critically assess health claims in the mass media can be a stepping-stone for students towards being able to critically appraise scientific literature, including research articles and clinical guidelines. Moreover, the use of the IHC Key Concepts framework can facilitate the adaption of our intervention to various contexts and fields, given so many concepts also apply to other fields.9
dedicated to the dissemination of the IHC framework, and the Teachers of Evidence-Based Health Care Learning Resources Database (www.teachingebhc.org), in which resources can be filtered by IHC Key Concepts, among other variables.

In the second phase, students use health claims in the media to illustrate the concepts themselves, producing short posts (table 1). These are similar to posts on the NHS site in that they have a consistent structure, discuss health information in the media and use plain language, and in that (some) are posted on a dedicated website, that is, the project website (https://bakoverskriftene.oslomet.no). However, they differ in that they focus on explaining a generic concept, as opposed to the specific study or health intervention in question. We give an example in the next section.

Pilots

We first piloted the intervention as an extracurricular programme at OsloMet over the Spring 2019 semester, with six students from six different study programmes within the Faculties of Health Sciences; Technology, Art and Design; and Social Sciences. The students were selected from a pool of respondents to an open call. Also, in 2019 and 2020, we piloted the intervention within two courses (approximately 20–30 students in each): an elective research communication and science journalism course at the University of Oslo, and a continuing education course in EBHC at OsloMet.

A first series of students’ posts are available on the project website, in Norwegian. Most of the posts originate from the semester-long pilot at OsloMet. In one of the posts, the claim that coffee can reduce the risk of Type 2 diabetes is used to illustrate the difference between correlation and causation.\(^1\) Table 1 shows the phases of intervention.

| Phase 2 of intervention (quoted text translated from Norwegian by the authors) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Student finds or is assigned mass media content | Student extracts claim | Student identifies relevant IHC Key Concept | Student illustrates concept, using the claim |
| **Example** | **Correlation ≠ Causation** | **See box 1** |
| ‘Norwegian Championship in coffee: 9 good reasons to drink coffee’\(^17\) | ‘Coffee can reduce the risk of diabetes Type 2’ | |

\(^{1}\)IHC, Informed Health Choices.

Large-scale implementation

In the Fall 2020 semester, we will adapt and implement the intervention as the first component in a new introductory EBHC course at OsloMet. The course itself will be mandatory for all students in the nine health sciences bachelor programmes (about 1600 per year), in the first 2 years of their degree. Modifications from the original intervention include replacing individual work with work in small groups need to sum up results from all the relevant, reliable comparisons.
EBM learning: General medicine

Table 2 Implementation of intervention in new introductory EBHC course (under development)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 1 of intervention</th>
<th>Phase 2 of intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual work, online ('flipped classroom')</td>
<td>Group work, in-person workshop and/or online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half day</td>
<td>Half day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students introduce themselves to evidence of unreliable claims and uninformed choices (background), and IHC Key Concepts</td>
<td>Each group selects one claim, and at least one relevant IHC Key Concept, choose format for assignment (e.g. text or video)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students find or are assigned mass media content, extract and break down claims, reflect on relevant IHC Key Concepts</td>
<td>Groups submit assignment in chosen format, illustrating concept(s) using selected claim as example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor summarises online content, focusing on what students say was most difficult, introduces assignment</td>
<td>Instructor marks assignment (pass/fail)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course continues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of intervention</td>
<td>Students turn claims into clinical question, move on to other evidence-based practice core competencies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EBHC, evidence-based healthcare; IHC, Informed Health Choices.

groups, as well as allowing for posts in different formats (eg, videos and podcasts), not just text (table 2). We are exploring how to quality-control and publish at least some of the content produced by students in the course.

Next steps

We are planning a formal, mixed-methods evaluation of the intervention in relation to its implementation in the new course. A randomised trial is not feasible in the first instance due to limited resources. Besides, previous experience suggests qualitative data from focus groups or in-depth interviews are likely to reveal obvious ways in which students’ and teachers’ experience of the intervention can be improved, and a randomised trial would not be sensible until after making such improvements.13 14 Therefore, the initial quantitative evaluation is likely to be a before-after test, using items from the Claim Evaluation Tools database, which have been specifically developed to measure the ability to apply the IHC Key Concepts.15 16

We welcome feedback and collaboration, nationally and internationally.
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